

ZEITSCHRIFT
FÜR
PAPYROLOGIE UND EPIGRAPHIK

begründet von

Reinhold Merkelbach (†) und Ludwig Koenen

herausgegeben von

*Werner Eck, Helmut Engelmann, Jürgen Hammerstaedt, Andrea Jördens, Rudolf Kassel
Ludwig Koenen, Wolfgang Dieter Lebek, Klaus Maresch, Georg Petzl und Cornelia Römer*



BAND 204

2017

DR. RUDOLF HABELT GMBH · BONN

Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik (ZPE)
Die ZPE erscheint in 4–5 Bänden pro Jahr, Preis je Einzelband € 98.–
Zu beziehen über den Buchhandel oder direkt bei:
Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Am Buchenhang 1, 53115 Bonn
Tel. +49 228 9238322, Fax +49 228 9238323, E-Mail: verlag@habelt.de
Internet: <http://www.habelt.de>

Manuskripte werden erbeten an ein Mitglied des Herausgeberremiums:

Prof. Dr. Werner Eck
Historisches Institut – Alte Geschichte, Universität zu Köln, 50923 Köln

Prof. Dr. Jürgen Hammerstaedt, Prof. Dr. Rudolf Kassel, Prof. Dr. Wolfgang Dieter Lebek, PD Dr. Klaus Maresch, Prof. Dr. Georg Petzl
Institut für Altertumskunde, Universität zu Köln, 50923 Köln

Prof. Dr. Helmut Engelmann
Kastanienweg 20, 56203 Höhr-Grenzhausen

Prof. Dr. Andrea Jördens
Zentrum für Altertumswissenschaften, Institut für Papyrologie, Marstallstr. 6, 69117 Heidelberg

Prof. Dr. Ludwig Koenen
Dept. of Classical Studies, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich. 48109-1003, USA

Prof. Dr. Cornelia Römer
DAI/DAAD Kairo

Redaktion: Prof. Dr. Alfred Breitenbach
Institut für Altertumskunde, Universität zu Köln, 50923 Köln

ZPE im Internet: <http://ifa.phil-fak.uni-koeln.de/zpe.html>

ISSN 0084-5388
© 2017 by Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn
Printed in Germany

INHALT

Adorjáni, Zs., Bemerkungen zu Pindar <i>fr.</i> 140b	10
Amendola, D., The Account of Demosthenes' Suicide in P.Berol. inv. 13045, B II	16
Backhuys, Th., Neuedition von P.Stras. VII 642 („Serment concernant une location“)	170
– Zur griechischen Hypographe des demotischen Königseides P.Sorb. III 83	175
Badoud, N., Deux fragments de boucliers votifs découverts en ville de Rhodes. Contribution à l'étude des esclaves publics	105
Baetens, G. – Clarysse, W., Some Notes on PUG IV	182
Battezzato, L., The Vienna Epigrams Papyrus: Another Footnote	22
Belousov, A. – Dana, M., Une nouvelle <i>defixio</i> du territoire d’Olbia du Pont	162
Blasco Torres, A. I., Some Ghostnames in Papyrological and Epigraphical Sources	187
Breternitz, S.-E., Ergebnisse der Tintenanalysen von ausgewählten Kölner Kodexfragmenten	177
Castelli, E., I canti separati. Il pap. <i>Berol.</i> 10567 e la più antica tradizione dei Διονυσιακά di Nonno di Panopoli	47
Clarysse, W. – Baetens, G., Some Notes on PUG IV	182
Costabile, F., «De cautione damni accipere» nella nuova tabella cerata londinese di <i>Atigniomarus</i>	303
Crini, G. – Orlandi, S., Un prefetto urbano “ritrovato”: <i>Iunius Pomponius Ammonius</i>	287
Curbera, J., Six Boeotian Curse Tablets	141
Dana, M. – Belousov, A., Une nouvelle <i>defixio</i> du territoire d’Olbia du Pont	162
Declercq, G., The Regnal Canon in the <i>Anthologies</i> of Vettius Valens	221
Del Chicca, F., La presunta restituzione al senato dell’ <i>auctoritas</i> di nominare i magistrati minori	280
Dettori, E., Su ἐπιγρόφιον: <i>ZPE</i> 199, 2016, p. 112, ll. 5 e 12	136
Dias, J. – Rémy, B., Découverte à La-Balme-les-Grottes (Isère) de l'épitaphe de Decimus Julius Pacatus, <i>praefectus pagi Veneri</i> et curateur des citoyens romains	251
Diethart, J., Notae legentis zu Papyri	207
Domínguez, A. J., Constructing an Eleian Ethnic Identity in Southern Epirus: the Inscription of Cassope (<i>SEG</i> 36, 555) Reconsidered	79
Eck, W., Miscellanea epigraphica II	229
Fagan, G. G. †, The Traveller's Bill (<i>CIL</i> IX 2689 = <i>ILS</i> 7478 = <i>AE</i> 1983.329)?	246
Favi, F. – Wilson, P., Choragic Spells in Gela: a Textual and Exegetical Note on Apellis' <i>defixio</i> , <i>SEG</i> LVII 905b	138
Fleischer, K., Die Lokalisierung der Verso-Kolumnen von PHerc. 1021 (Philodem, <i>Index Academicorum</i>)	27
Giovagnoli, M., Il presunto sepolcro del liberto di Nerone <i>Epaphroditus</i> : nuovi dati dall’archivio Gatti	241
Grusková, J. – Martin, G., Neugelesener Text im Wiener Dexipp-Palimpsest (<i>Scythica Vindobonensis</i> , f. 195v, Z. 6–10) mit Hilfe der Röntgenfluoreszenzanalyse	40
Hayward, Chr. – Pitt, R. K., Inscriptions from Limestone Quarries at Kenchreai, Greece	89
Huß, W., Die Wirtschaft Ägyptens in ptolemaiischer Zeit	165
Kruschwitz, P., ‘I Shall Touch It with Care and Respect’: À Propos an Hitherto Neglected Senarius from Roman Britain (<i>EE</i> VII 928 = <i>RIB</i> 659)	24
Kuhn, C. T., A Statue for the Senator A. Iulius Pompilius Piso at Ephesus?	100
Lanciers, E., The Alleged Relations between Ptolemaic Egypt and Lycia after 197 BC and the Founding Date of the Lycian League	116
Malik, P. – Zelyck, L. R., Reconsidering the Date(s) of the <i>Egerton Gospel</i>	55

Martin, G. – Grusková, J., Neugelesener Text im Wiener Dexipp-Palimpsest (<i>Scythica Vindobonensis</i> , f. 195v, Z. 6–10) mit Hilfe der Röntgenfluoreszenzanalyse	40
Minis, J. – Schorn, St., A Fragmentary Military Diploma from the Thermenmuseum in Heerlen	299
Minutoli, D., Another So-Called ‘Bous’ Amulet	159
Mongelli, F., Il <i>cursus</i> di M. Aquilius M. f. Fabia Felix	255
Nieto Izquierdo, E., À propos d'une nouvelle édition de la <i>lex sacra</i> SEG 11, 314 (Argos)	97
Nowakowski, P., A New Imperial Letter from the Ephesian Dossier, Concerning the Churches of John and Mary in Ephesus? A Re-edition of <i>IG XII</i> 6,2 928	72
Olivieri, L. M. – Tribulato, O., Writing Greek in the Swat Region: A New Graffito from Barikot (Pakistan)	128
Orlandi, S. – Crimi, G., Un prefetto urbano “ritrovato”: <i>Iunius Pomponius Ammonius</i>	287
Pitt, R. K. – Hayward, Chr., Inscriptions from Limestone Quarries at Kenchreai, Greece	89
Rémy, B. – Dias, J., Découverte à La-Balme-les-Grottes (Isère) de l'épitaphe de Decimus Iulius Pacatus, <i>praefectus pagi Veneri</i> et curateur des citoyens romains	251
Salomons, R. P., Wiener Mélange	195
Schorn, St. – Minis, J., A Fragmentary Military Diploma from the Thermenmuseum in Heerlen	299
Stefan, A., Dioclétien à l'été 285: son deuxième consulat, les sanctions contre la <i>memoria</i> de Carin et l'amnistie générale	265
Tentori Montalto, M., Some Notes on Croesus' Dedication to Amphiaraos at Thebes (<i>BÉ</i> , 2015, n. 306)	1
Tolsa, Cr., Time of Birth and Ascendant in the Papyrus Horoscopes	209
Tribulato, O. – Olivieri, L. M., Writing Greek in the Swat Region: A New Graffito from Barikot (Pakistan)	128
Weiß, A., Epigraphische Kleinigkeiten	238
Wilson, P. – Favi, F., Choragic Spells in Gela: a Textual and Exegetical Note on Apellis' <i>defixio</i> , SEG LVII 905b	138
Zelyck, L. R. – Malik, P., Reconsidering the Date(s) of the <i>Egerton Gospel</i>	55

DILETTA MINUTOLI

ANOTHER SO-CALLED ‘BOUS’ AMULET

aus: Zeitschrift für Papyrologie und Epigraphik 204 (2017) 159–161

© Dr. Rudolf Habelt GmbH, Bonn

ANOTHER SO-CALLED ‘BOUS’ AMULET¹

A new so-called ‘Bous’ amulet has been fortuitously found among the ruins of the ancient city of Antinoupolis². It was a chance discovery, not connected with the activity of the excavations carried out by the Papyrological Institute «G. Vitelli» from 1935/36 to the present, but well localized and also ‘miraculous’, considering the dimensions of the object (cm 1.6 × 0.6) and the 5 km² of ruins and rubble at the site.

This kind of amulet, made usually in wood or bone, has been extensively studied during the last ten years, thanks in part to the edition of new finds which fall into this category of objects. This latest amulet is a novelty: not for the material (again wood), nor for the ‘Bous’-formula (pretty typical), but for its unusual shape, an octagonal prism. Four of the eight faces, associated with the longest edges, bear the inscriptions; alternating between these, the other four faces are narrower and uninscribed. The amulet was pierced through its middle longitudinally³ so that it could be worn; the piercing is a typical feature of amulets of the same typology (hole ø: cm 0.3).

The only one amulet comparable to ours in formula and shape is SEG XXXIV 1625 (= TM 105021)⁴, a wooden parallelepiped with a handle attached to the middle of one side. This parallel bears its words «on the four longitudinal sides», but with the letters written underneath each other (vertically) and not one after the other (horizontally), as in our case. This means that in SEG XXXIV 1625, when the amulet is suspended, the position of the letters is not correct, but turned 90° to the right; instead, when ours is suspended, the position of the letters is correct.

Typically these amulets are flat, with a hole (or holes) or a small handle on the upper part and writing on one or both of the faces⁵.

G. Nachtergael (*Un amulette cit.*, pp. 93–101) provided the initial list of these objects. He divided the so-called ‘Bous’ amulets into three groups based on their formulae. Our find belongs to his first group, which comprises amulets which present only a sort of ‘declension’ of the Greek name (pp. 97–98).

In most of these amulets – but not in ours – there is a cross, which led Nachtergael (*Un amulette cit.*, p. 97) to conclude, «Toutes sont probablement chrétiennes, quand bien même quelques-unes omettent la croix initiale».

For an explanation linking ‘Bous’ to the decan/decan god Bos, see W. Brashear, *Lesefrüchte*, *ZPE* 50 (1983), pp. 97–107, in part. pp. 101–102 (accepted, in a first moment, by G. Nachtergael, *Quelques étiquettes de momies et une amulette chrétienne d’Égypte*, in R. De Smet – H. Melaerts – C. Saerens, *Studia varia Bruxellensia ad orbem Graeco-Latinum pertinentia*, IV, *In honorem Aloysi Gerlo*, Leuven 1997, in part. p. 203). An explanation excluding a connection with the decan and instead proposing a link with “babbling charms” was made by C. Bonner, *Studies in Magical Amulets, Chiefly Graeco-Egyptian*, Ann Arbor 1950,

¹ I want to thank the Director of the Excavation in Antinopolis, R. Pintaudi, for allowing me to publish this find in the present journal. The amulet was recovered on 28th November 2016. My special thanks to Todd Hickey for reviewing my English.

² G. Menci (*Un amuleto “Bous” da Antinoe*, *ZPE* 159, 2007, pp. 249–252) published an amulet of the same typology (but with the usual wooden slab shape) that surely comes from Antinopolis and is at present exhibited in the Museum of the Papyrological Institute «G. Vitelli» in Florence. In view of the similarity of the hand-writing and the formula of the Florentine amulet to those of two specimens published by G. Nachtergael, *Un amulette chrétienne du Musée des Beaux-Arts de Dijon*, *Ricerche di Egittologia e di Antichità Copte* 4 (2002), pp. 93–101 (one amulet is stored in Dijon [pp. 93–94], the other appears in a sale catalogue [see p. 97, no. 9]), G. Menci asserts that «la sicura provenienza antinotita di questo reperto non può non essere estesa agli altri due amuleti» (Menci, *Un amuleto cit.*, p. 250). Thus, of 14 known amulets, 4 could come from Antinopolis.

³ This way of suspension, so different from the holes or the handles, allows to wear the amulet, not only in a necklace, like a pendant, but also in a bracelet (or an anklet?). Moreover this form makes possible the union of more than one amulet of the same shape, even with different ‘cases’ of the βους-formula or other words.

⁴ The text was published by P. J. Sijpesteijn, *Another BOYΣ Amulet*, *ZPE* 55 (1984), p. 114, pl. II g–h.

⁵ For a link between the text and the kind of material used and the suspension system (hole or handle), see Menci, *Un amuleto cit.*, p. 251.



p. 68 (see also Nachtergael, *Un amulette cit.*, p. 98). For a third suggestion, which connects the amulets with βύας or βουβών (from Latin *bubo*), ‘owl’, bird of the dark, see Menci, *Un amuleto cit.*, p. 252. In any case, it is clear that the purpose of this kind of object was to protect the wearer (*τὸν φοροῦντα*), as is usual in magical texts.

Th. J. Kraus has published the most recent list of the amulets (which numbered 12 in 2008)⁶. To this may be added the amulet published here and a wooden one in slab form published by A. Delattre and K. Worp, *Trois tablettes de bois du Musée de Leyde*, *CdÉ LXXXVII/174* (2012), pp. 361–382, in part. 2. *La formule Bouς, le Psaume 90 et des textes épistolaires*, pp. 363–370, with *l'Annexe 2. Une nouvelle amulette Bous*, pp. 377–378.

⁶ Th. Kraus, *Bouς, Βαινχωωχ und Septuaginta-Psalm 90? Überlegungen zu den sogenannten ‚Bous‘-Amuletten und dem beliebtesten Bibeltext für apotropäische Zwecke*, *ZAC* 11 (2008), pp. 479–491. In addition to analyzing the ‘Bous’-formulae, Kraus classifies and divides amulets in groups, also in reason of the association between the ‘declension’ of βους respectively with the word βαινχωωχ and with the first verse of Psalm 90. To the list given by Nachtergael, Kraus adds the wooden amulet published by G. Menci, as well as a bone amulet from Italy (IG XIV 2414, 123) quoted by her (p. 251). Kraus also reconsiders the various attempts to explain the origin of word βους.

For dating purposes, the writing of our amulet is not useful, but in view of the find’s archaeological context and Nachtergael’s assertion that the amulets «forment un ensemble homogène approximativement datable des VI^e et VII^e siècles» (Un amulette cit., p. 97), we can suggest that it comes from the same period. The round shape of the letters (the lower part of β differs from the parallels, in which it is written with a perfectly horizontal stroke; note also the curves of ω, as well as υ, which looks like v in the parallels) leads me to exclude the VIII cen.

The following is a transcription of the four different forms of the word, in the order in which they appear from bottom to top (running clockwise).

βους
βουη
βουου
βουω

The ‘cases’, which appear to be a sort of ‘declension’ in which the sound becomes darker through the progression, are never identical in the different amulets. More typically the formula deploys forms with *alpha* (Βουα, Βουαι, Βουας and variants of the diphthong ου), but a formula closer to ours appears in the aforementioned SEG XXXIV 1625: βους | Βουη | Βουω (ο Βουε) | Β(ο)η⁷.

For an attempt to explain the origin of the ‘cases’, see G. Menci, Un amuleto cit., p. 252.

Diletta Minutoli, Messina
dminutoli@unime.it

⁷ Menci (Un amuleto cit., p. 251, nt. 15) supposed that the handle between *beta* and *eta* could represent *omicron*.